04 OCT 1988 U- WAT 6-6-2

REPLY TO: 6W-SU

The second of wasting engineers to metal by the second of the Mr. Allen 2. Bainke. I round to or while medical well ding network Exacutive Director Texas Water Commission P.O. Box 13097, Capital Station Austin, Texas 78711

TENNESS CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR

Aquifer Exemption Request, Uranium Resource, Inc., Rosita Site. Permit No. UR02880-001, Duyal County 

Dear Mr. Beinke:

I am pleased to inform you of the Environmental Protection Agency approval of your request to exempt a portion of the Gollad Formation from the Underground Injection Control program requirements that no fluid may be injected into an Underground Source of Drinking Water (USDW). This approval is based upon the criteria stipulated in 40 CFR 144.7(b), 145.32, and 146.4 containing regulations allowing an aquifer to be exempted if: (a) it is not currently used as a drinking water supply, and (b) it cannot be used as a drinking water source in the future because it is mineral producing or can be shown by a permit applicant to contain minerals that are expected to be commercially producible. This exemption approval will aflow injection for in-situ uranium mining only. If injection for other purposes (e.g. hazardous waste disposal) is planned into this aquifer, additional approval will be needed.

The approved exempted portion of the aquifer underlies the Uranium Resources, Incorporated, Rosita Mine Site, in Duval County and is limited to the Lower Golfad Formation. A detailed description of the exempted portion of the aquifer remains in the exemption request and subsequent comment letters.

We also wanted to address three items discussed during the Texas Water Commission (TWC) hearings concerning the Rosita aquifer exemption. These items are, (1) unplugged exploration holes at the site, (2) proposed increase in the size of the aquifer exemption, and (3) an error stated in the public notice concerning the size of the area to be exempted.

## Unplugged Exploration Holes

	As indicat	ed to ou	c. May 18	1988	letter	WE FE	wested	the TWC	to addres	<u>s</u>
	DOCOCCOCO	correcti	TA ACMO	n for u	ne PNGHP	ENGENIO	ration h	oles in	the area	
SYMBOL	(	MAH 9	130/84							
SURNAME	W-SU:9/9/	88 :HEBER	T:kk:MH3	/14 :FIL	E CODE:	WAT IZ	-2-5-2	-		
DATE	JOHNSON (	'6N∸SU'''' WEAVER	CABRA A	32	(AMO)	9/80				
EPA For	26 P 90 K	iche g	- 9/	, }	and areas restricted.	J. Treasure	N A TERRESA		OF	FICIAL FILE COPY

of review. The reply stated that a pump test must be conducted prior to the submittal of the application for a production area authorization. This will determine which boreholes must be plugged in order to prevent vertical migration. We find this approach acceptable, but request review of the pump test analysis when it becomes available to ensure non-endangerment to USDW's.

## Proposed Increase in Exemption Area

We have determined the proposed increase in the exemption area does not increase the likelihood of endangerment to USDW's. The additional area requested remains within the original monitor well ring network.

## Error in the Public Notice

We have concluded the initial TWC and EPA reviews were based on the 1,000 acre area, not on the 81 acres under pattern. The proposed exempted area was not changed or enlarged from the maps submitted and the 1,000 acre area is contained within the lease limits. All adjacent land owners were notified, and we have determined the TWC adequately met its public notice requirements.

If you have any questions concerning this approval, please contact me or have your staff contact Mike Hebert at (214) 655-7160. Thank you for your continued cooperation.

The control of the state of the control of the state of t

The state of the s

Sincerely yours,

Myron O. Knudson, P.E.

Director

Water Management Division (6W)

Co: Charles J. Greene, TWC
Dale Kohler, TWC